Creative research techniques afford bold new insights into mental health issues

A measured approach

Personality disorders and severe psychopathology such as schizophrenia present arenas of inquiry long clouded by myth, misperception and grand theory, often confounded by inexact science. Mark F. Lenzenweger cuts through that murky shroud, using innovative data-analysis techniques to get answers to some of his field’s most perplexing questions.
Roy F. Lenzenweger, a professor of psychology in the Department of Psychiatry at Cornell University’s Weill College of Medicine. His collaborative work there focuses primarily on the enigmatic condition known as borderline personality disorder.

He has also edited six books, published nearly 100 papers in refereed journals and uncovered ground-breaking findings that undo past misperceptions about personality disorders. He is writing a monograph on schizotypy – the liability, or risk, for schizophrenia – and research methods.

Lenzenweger pursues two major programs of psychopathology research. The first focuses on schizophrenia and schizophrenia-related disorders. Schizophrenia, which affects 1 percent of the population, is a devastating illness that exacts a significant toll on those affected, their family members and society at large. For many years it was thought poor parenting practices led to schizophrenia; this erroneous view was debunked by research that focused on genetic factors. Researchers now know that genetic influences account for about 80 percent of the factors that cause schizophrenia.

A particularly malignant feature of schizophrenia is that it usually begins early in life, appearing between the ages of 13 and 25. As a result, it spells a lifetime of challenges for affected individuals, their families and the larger communities concerned with their well-being.
“It just screams out to be solved as a public health problem,” Lenzenweger said. “I view it as something that demands our attention. It has always felt to me like the right battle to be fighting in mental health. It’s a real illness, not a ‘myth’ or ‘label’ as suggested in the 1960s. It’s based in the brain, it ruins people’s lives and it has a major genetic component.”

Lenzenweger’s latest study in schizophrenia, which tested subjects’ eye movements and attention, was the first to confront the long-debated question regarding the structure of schizotypy using laboratory measures. It was also revolutionary for its use of two mathematical techniques, called finite mixture modeling and taxometric analysis.

The techniques made it possible to divide research subjects into just two groups, those putatively at risk for schizophrenia and those not at risk. Other assessment techniques have generally failed to allow for this binary solution, and have instead forced researchers and treatment providers to assign graded risk assessments that afforded no one a clean bill of health.

In this study, Lenzenweger collaborated with Geoff McLachlan at the University of Queensland in Australia and Donald B. Rubin of Harvard University, both leaders in the application of new statistical methods to health-related problems. Their findings were published in 2007 in the prestigious *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*.

Lenzenweger compares some of the logic of the theory behind the study to measuring the temperature of water. At some point, even if the temperature of the water is monitored continuously as it is heated to a boil or cooled to freezing, critical moments can be determined. So too is the case when it comes to schizophrenia. In short, one can measure something along a continuum or graded dimension, but, at some point, important transitions appear in the data.

“There may be a host of genetic factors that contribute to the risk for schizophrenia, but there may be tipping points or thresholds that are really meaningful, akin to the change in state from a liquid to a solid or from a liquid to a gas,” Lenzenweger said. “And there are statistical methods to help get at that.”

The project was funded by a $100,000 Distinguished Investigator Award from the National Alliance for Research on Schizophrenia and Depression. That support allowed Lenzenweger to do something risky: Rather than begin with people who had schizophrenia and study factors that set them apart, he drew more than 300 research subjects from the general community. These people were studied in the laboratory and attention and eye movement measures were taken for all 300. These data were then subjected to the finite mixture modeling and taxometric procedures for statistical analysis.

Psychologists tend to limit their statistical toolbox to fairly simple methods. Lenzenweger’s interest in more nuanced, complex approaches sets him apart.

“To reveal order in data, you need to let it represent itself the way it really is. And if you really want to tackle problems as nature delivers them up, then you have to get into the data, get your hands dirty and dig into it, sometimes with complicated methods.”

— Mark F. Lenzenweger
The risk paid off. Abnormalities in eye movement and attention — targeted as indicators of schizophrenia risk by earlier studies — turned out to be useful predictors. The smaller of the two groups in Lenzenweger’s study contained individuals who displayed dilute forms of schizophrenia-like symptoms even though they had never had the illness. Study of these people revealed schizophrenia in their relatives, but not other psychiatric illnesses.

“What was exciting for us is that this method allows you to assign a probability to every person in the sample with respect to likelihood of risk for schizophrenia,” Lenzenweger said. “By doing this, one can generate precise estimates of where individuals fall on the risk dimension.”

Professor Brendan A. Maher, the Edward C. Henderson professor emeritus at Harvard University and primary architect of the field of experimental psychopathology as well as a colleague and collaborator of Lenzenweger’s, said Lenzenweger’s work has “extraordinary potential.” One day it could even help lead the way to ensuring that individuals at risk for schizophrenia can be treated before they ever become ill.

“The promise, particularly in the measurement and early identification of schizophrenia, which is still in its infancy, is huge,” Maher said. “The promise is that we would get good, solid data on early identification and be able to develop preventative techniques.”

The next step for Lenzenweger will be to use mixture modeling to help identify people who might be gene carriers for schizophrenia risk.
DNA assays on such individuals would contribute to the growing body of work on the 10 genes of highest interest to schizophrenia researchers. And it has become so much easier to gather DNA that Lenzenweger is optimistic about the work progressing further.

“We can dig deeper,” he said, “because the technology has advanced yet again.”

Lenzenweger also studies what are termed personality disorders. Different from a psychotic illness such as schizophrenia, people with personality disorders exhibit traits that cause them to feel and behave in socially distressing ways and show marked occupational impairment.

In a major epidemiological study, Lenzenweger recently reported that about 9 percent of adults in the United States have one or more personality disorders, which often limit their ability to function in relationships and at work. This work, conducted as part of the National Comorbidity Study-Replication, confirmed Lenzenweger’s earlier finding suggesting that 1 in 10 people suffers from a diagnosable personality disorder.

Another part of Lenzenweger’s focus on personality disorders involves his National Institute of Mental Health-funded Longitudinal Study of Personality Disorders (LSPD). In 1990, he began this study of the longitudinal course of personality disorders, personality and temperament. The first study of its kind in the world, it has been yielding fascinating results regarding the fundamental nature of personality disorders.

The study has challenged traditional beliefs about personality disorders more than once. For example, he first used a statistical procedure known as growth curve analysis, as well as data from the LSPD, to show that personality disorders are flexible and may change over time, rather than being fixed in regard to severity over a person’s lifetime.

More recently, in collaboration with his Harvard colleague John B. Willett, Lenzenweger showed that the changes that occur in personality disorders over time are not caused by changes in a variety of systems thought to underpin personality in general. This study also was published in 2007 in the Journal of Abnormal Psychology.

“These data cracked open the notion that any change in personality disorder must come from changes in personality per se,” Lenzenweger said, “and they open the door to exploring the causes of change, especially considering possibilities that fall outside the box of conventional wisdom in psychiatry and clinical psychology.”

These findings offer new hope and possibilities for treatment to those stigmatized by misperceptions and misinformation.

— Rachel Coker

Lenzenweger’s work has “extraordinary potential.”

— Brendan A. Maher, professor emeritus, Harvard University

Abnormalities in eye movement and attention — targeted as indicators of schizophrenia risk by earlier studies — turned out to be useful predictors.